

Ian Eisenman

- ① The crude way to do this is to iterate the map initially for ~ 1000 iterations until initial transients decay and then say it's a 2^n -cycle if 2^n additional iterations change x by less than some small tolerance. Varying Γ and applying this method, the values Γ_n where a new 2^n -cycle is born can be approximated. Strogatz calls this a "naive approach" (problem 10.6.1) because near the period doubling the convergence to a cycle becomes very slow ("critical slowing down").

At any rate, a method like this leads to the following values:

$$x_{n+1} = \Gamma \sin(\pi x_n) : \Gamma_1 \approx 0.713, \Gamma_2 \approx 0.831, \Gamma_3 \approx 0.858$$

$$\hookrightarrow \delta \approx \frac{\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1}{\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_2} = \boxed{4.4}$$

$$x_{n+1} = \Gamma - x_n^4 : \Gamma_1 \approx 0.746, \Gamma_2 \approx 1.113, \Gamma_3 \approx 1.161 \Rightarrow \delta \approx \boxed{7.6}$$

The sine map is unimodal, and δ is reasonably close to the $n \rightarrow \infty$ value of $\delta \approx 4.669$

The quartic map is not unimodal (smooth, concave down) since it's not concave at the origin. Briggs (1991) gives $\delta = 7.28$ for quartic maps.

② (Note that α is positive in Schuster, and in this problem, but negative in Strogatz)

a) Given $g(x) = -\alpha g(g(-\frac{x}{\alpha})) \equiv T(g)$

Define $f(x) \equiv \mu g(\frac{x}{\mu})$

$$T(f) = -\alpha f(f(-\frac{x}{\alpha})) = -\alpha \mu g(\frac{1}{\mu} \mu g(-\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{x}{\alpha})) \\ = -\alpha \mu g(g(-\frac{x}{\mu \alpha}))$$

Since $g(x) = -\alpha g(g(-\frac{x}{\alpha}))$, $g(\frac{x}{\mu}) = -\alpha g(g(-\frac{x}{\mu \alpha}))$, so

$$T(f) = \mu g(\frac{x}{\mu}) = f$$

$\hookrightarrow f \equiv \mu g(\frac{x}{\mu})$ is a fixed point of T .

b) If x^* is a f.p., $g(x^*) = x^*$, then

$$x^* = g(x^*) = -\alpha g(g(-\frac{x^*}{\alpha}))$$

Similarly, $g(-\alpha x^*) = -\alpha g(g(x^*)) = -\alpha g(x^*) = -\alpha x^*$

But $g(-\alpha x^*) = g(\alpha x^*)$. So $g(z) = \pm z$ at all $\pm \alpha^n x^*$

c) Let $g(x) = 1 + cx^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^3)$. Since $g = T(g)$,

$$1 + cx^2 \approx -\alpha [1 + c(1 + 2c \frac{x^2}{\alpha^2} + \mathcal{O}(x^4))] \quad \forall x$$

So, $1 = -\alpha(1+c)$ and $c = -\alpha \frac{2c^2}{\alpha^2}$

$$\hookrightarrow c = -\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{3}) \approx -1.4; \quad \alpha = 1+\sqrt{3} \approx 2.7$$

Which is surprisingly close to the exact value, $\alpha = 2.5029\dots$

③ Following Schuster (p. 46),

$$g_i(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-\alpha)^n f_{R_{n+i}}^{2^n} \left(\frac{x}{(-\alpha)^n} \right)$$

$$g_{i-1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-\alpha)^n f_{R_{n+i-1}}^{2^n} \left(\frac{x}{(-\alpha)^n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-\alpha)(-\alpha)^{n-1} f_{R_{n-1+i}}^{2^{n-1}} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{x}{(-\alpha)^{n-1}} \right)$$

define $m \equiv n-1$

$$g_{i-1}(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-\alpha)(-\alpha)^m f_{R_{m+i}}^{2^{m+1}} \left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{x}{(-\alpha)^m} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (-\alpha)(-\alpha)^m f_{R_{m+i}}^{2^m} \left[\frac{1}{(-\alpha)^m} (-\alpha)^m f_{R_{m+i}}^{2^m} \left(\frac{-x/\alpha}{(-\alpha)^m} \right) \right]$$

$$= -\alpha g_i \left[g_i \left(-\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) \right]$$

$$\hookrightarrow g_{i-1}(x) = T[g_i(x)]$$

④ a) This is directly from Strogatz. Please see me if you have questions.

b [the easier part]) If $x_{n+1} = f(x_n) = \Gamma - x_n^4$, $g(x)$ be quartic to lowest order:

$$g(x) = 1 + cx^4 + \mathcal{O}(x^8) = -\alpha g^2 \left(-\frac{x}{\alpha} \right) = \alpha \left[1 + c \left(1 + 4c \left(\frac{x}{\alpha} \right)^4 + \mathcal{O}(x^8) \right) \right]$$

$$1 = -\alpha(1+c) \text{ and } c = -4\alpha \frac{c^2}{\alpha^4}$$

$$\hookrightarrow c = -1.54, \quad \alpha = 1.84$$

Briggs (1991) gives $\alpha = 1.69$ for quartic maps.